

# Inverse scattering problem for the nonlinear Klein–Gordon equation

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we shall study the inverse scattering problem for the nonlinear Klein–Gordon equation

$$u_{tt} - \Delta_x u + m^2 u = f(x, u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

In [4] Morawetz and Strauss showed that the scattering operator uniquely determines any interaction function  $f(u)$  which is odd and analytic. We extend this result to

$$f(x, u) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k(x) |u|^{2k} u.$$

The fundamental argument is that the solution  $u_m$  of the Klein–Gordon equation with mass  $m > 0$

$$u_{tt} - \Delta_x u + m^2 u = 0 \tag{KG}_m$$

converges to the solution of the wave equation in  $L^4(\mathbb{R}^4)$  as  $m \rightarrow 0$ . In Section 2, we treat the case  $f(x, u) = q(x) |u|^2 u$  (see [1], [2]); in Section 3, we establish a theorem of convergence in  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$  of solutions of the inhomogeneous Klein–Gordon equation as  $m \rightarrow m_0$ ; in Section 4, we derive our general result of inverse scattering.

## 2. THE CUBIC INTERACTION

THEOREM 1. Let  $q$  be a function in  $W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . Then  $q$  is determined by the scattering operator  $S$  for the nonlinear Klein–Gordon equation

$$u_{tt} - \Delta_x u + m^2 u = q(x) |u|^2 u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3. \tag{NLKG}$$

More precisely, given  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ , let  $g \neq 0$  in  $S(\mathbb{R}^3)$  be so that

$$|\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{g} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_{\xi}^3).$$

We solve this equation by the standard Picard method in where  $R$  is the Riemann function of  $(KG_m)$ .

$$u(t) = u^-(t) + \int_t^{-\infty} R(t-s) * q|u|^2 ds,$$

integral we represent  $u$  as Now, choose  $u^- = e^{\phi^-}$ ,  $v^- = e^{\phi^-}$ ,  $\epsilon$  small enough; in order to estimate the  $W(Su^-, Sv^-) - W(u^-, v^-) = \int \int q u^- v^- (|u|^2 - |v|^2) dt dx.$

and by a passage to the limit  $t \rightarrow +\infty$

$$W(u(T), v(T)) - W(u(-T), v(-T)) = \int_{-T}^T \int q u^- v^- (|u|^2 - |v|^2) dt dx$$

By differentiation and integration we obtain

$$W(u, v) = \int (u(t) \bar{v}_t(t) - u_t(t) \bar{v}(t)) dx.$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_e$  denotes the energy norm. Following [4] consider  $W(u, v)$

$$\|u(t) - u^\pm(t)\|_e \rightarrow 0, \|v(t) - v^\pm(t)\|_e \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } t \rightarrow \pm\infty,$$

Let  $u, v$  be solutions of  $(NLKG)$  which verify

Proof: The existence of the scattering operator for small regular data is proved in [6]. We choose  $u^-, v^-$  solutions of  $(KG_m)$  so that  $u^+ = Su^-, v^+ = Sv^-$  exists.

$$W(f, f') = \int f \bar{f}' - f' \bar{f} dx.$$

where  $W(f, f')$  is defined for  $f, f'$  in  $C^1(\mathbb{R}^t, L^2(\mathbb{R}^x))$  by

$$S(e^{\phi^-}) - S(e^{\phi^+})$$

$$q(x^0) = \int \int \int \int |u(t, x)|^4 dt dx \stackrel{\phi \leftarrow \phi^+}{\sim} \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \int \int |u(t, x)|^4 dt dx,$$

and let  $u$  be the solution of  $u_{tt} - \Delta u = 0, u(0, x) = 0, u_t(0, x) = g(x)$ ; then  $q(x^0)$  is given by

Let  $\phi$  be the solution of  $(KG_m)$  so that  $\phi_x(0, x) = 0, \phi_t(0, x) = g(x - x^0)$

$$\{u \in L^4(\mathbb{R}^4) / \|u\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^4)} \leq 2 \|u_-\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^4)}\}$$

for  $u_-$  small enough. By the  $L^p-L^q$  estimate for  $R$  (see [3]) and the standard singular integral inequality, we obtain

$$|u(t) - u_-(t)|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \int_{-\infty}^t |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |u(s)|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)}^3 ds,$$

$$\|u - u_-\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^4)} \leq C' \|u\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^4)}^3 \leq 8 C' \|u_-\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^4)}^3.$$

Hence

$$\|u - \varepsilon \phi_\lambda\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^4)} = O(\varepsilon^3), \quad \|v - \varepsilon^2 \phi_\lambda\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^4)} = O(\varepsilon^6).$$

Applying these estimates, we obtain

$$W(S(\varepsilon \phi_\lambda), S(\varepsilon^2 \phi_\lambda)) - \varepsilon^3 W(\phi_\lambda, \phi_\lambda) = \varepsilon^5 \iint q |\phi_\lambda|^4 dt dx + O(\varepsilon^7).$$

From change variable  $x' = \lambda(x-x_0)$ ,  $t' = \lambda t$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint q(x_0 + \frac{x'}{\lambda}) |u_\lambda(t', x')|^4 dt' dx' \\ &= \lambda^4 \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{-5} [W(S(\varepsilon \phi_\lambda), S(\varepsilon^2 \phi_\lambda)) - \varepsilon^3 W(\phi_\lambda, \phi_\lambda)], \end{aligned}$$

where  $u_\lambda(t', x') = \phi_\lambda(t', x')$  is a solution of

$$u_{tt} - \Delta_x u + \left(\frac{m}{\lambda}\right)^2 u = 0; \quad u_\lambda(0, x') = 0; \quad u_{\lambda t'}(0, x') = g(x'). \quad (\text{E})$$

Therefore, in order to determine  $q(x_0)$  it suffices to prove that solutions of equation (KG)<sub>m</sub> converge in  $L^4(\mathbb{R}^4)$  to the solution of the wave equation as  $m \rightarrow 0$ .

### 3. CONVERGENCE OF SOLUTIONS OF (KG)<sub>m</sub> AS $m \rightarrow m_0$

THEOREM 2. Let  $m \geq 0$ ; we denote by  $u_m = T_m(f, g, h)$  the solution of

$$u_{tt} - \Delta_x u + m^2 u = h(t, x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

$$u(0, x) = f(x); \quad u_t(0, x) = g(x).$$

Let  $H, H_0$  be Hilbert spaces defined by

$$H = \{(f, g) / (1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/4} \hat{f} \text{ and } (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-1/4} \hat{g} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_\xi^n)\},$$

$$H_0 = \{(f, g) / (1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/4} \hat{f} \text{ and } |\xi|^{-1/2} \hat{g} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_\xi^n)\}.$$

For  $n > 2$  we have the following results:

- (a) if  $m, m_0 > 0$ , then  $T_m \rightarrow T_{m_0}$  in  $L(H \times L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}), L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}))$  under the topology of simple convergence as  $m \rightarrow m_0$ , with  $p, q$  so that

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1; 2 \frac{n+2}{n} \leq q \leq 2 \frac{n+1}{n-1}.$$

- (b)  $T_m \rightarrow T_0$  in  $L(H_0 \times L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}), L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}))$  under the topology of simple convergence as  $m \rightarrow 0$  with  $p, q$  so that

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1; q = 2 \frac{n+1}{n-1}.$$

Proof: Strichartz [8] proved the equicontinuity of  $T_m$ , hence it suffices to show the convergence on a dense subset of data  $(f, g, h)$ . First we study solutions of the homogeneous equation  $u_m = T_m(f, g, 0)$ ;  $u_m$  may be written:

$$u_m(t, x) = F_{\tau, \xi}^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{m^2 + |\xi|^2} \hat{f}(\xi) + i \operatorname{sgn}(\tau) \hat{g}(\xi)) d\mu_m \right],$$

where  $d\mu_m$  is a measure given by

$$\langle d\mu_m, \phi(\tau, \xi) \rangle = \int (\phi(\sqrt{m^2 + |\xi|^2}, \xi) + \phi(-\sqrt{m^2 + |\xi|^2}, \xi)) (m^2 + |\xi|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\xi.$$

Suppose that:  $\hat{f}, \hat{g} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $0 \notin \operatorname{supp} \hat{f} \cup \operatorname{supp} \hat{g}$ .

We choose  $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\})$  so that

$$\xi \in \operatorname{supp} \hat{f} \cup \operatorname{supp} \hat{g}, 0 \leq \tau^2 - |\xi|^2 \leq (m_0 + 1)^2 \Rightarrow \chi(\tau, \xi) = 1.$$

We have for  $0 \leq m \leq m_0 + 1$

$$u_m(t, x) = F_{\tau, \xi}^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{m^2 + |\xi|^2} \hat{f}(\xi) + i \operatorname{sgn}(\tau) \hat{g}(\xi)) \chi \right] * F_{\tau, \xi}^{-1} (\chi \cdot d\mu_m).$$

Hence  $u_m - u_{m_0}$  may be written

$$u_m - u_{m_0} = F_m * F_{\tau, \xi}^{-1} [\chi(d\mu_m - d\mu_{m_0})] + F_{\tau, \xi}^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{m^2 + |\xi|^2} - \sqrt{m_0^2 + |\xi|^2}) \hat{f}(\xi) \cdot d\mu_m \right].$$

Let

$$S_{m_0} = \{(\tau, \xi) / -\tau^2 + |\xi|^2 + m_0^2 = 0\}.$$

Then  $\frac{1}{2} \hat{f}(\xi) (\sqrt{m^2 + |\xi|^2} - \sqrt{m_0^2 + |\xi|^2})$  converges to 0 in  $L^2(S_{m_0}, d\mu_{m_0})$  as  $m \rightarrow m_0$ ; hence it follows from [8] that for the desired  $q$

$$F_{\tau, \xi}^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{m^2 + |\xi|^2} - \sqrt{m_0^2 + |\xi|^2}) \hat{f}(\xi) \cdot d\mu_m \right] \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \text{ as } m \rightarrow m_0.$$

On the other hand, we notice that  $(F_m)_{0 \leq m \leq m_0+1}$  is bounded in  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ . Now consider the analytic family of operators  $T_m^z$

$$T_m^z \phi = \phi * F_{\tau, \xi}^{-1} [\gamma(z) ((-\tau^2 + |\xi|^2 + m^2)_+^z - (-\tau^2 + |\xi|^2 + m_0^2)_+^z) \chi],$$

where  $\gamma(z)$  is a suitable holomorphic function which cancels the poles of the distribution valued meromorphic function  $(-\tau^2 + |\xi|^2 + m^2)_+^z$  and with a simple zero at  $z = -1$  so that

$$T_m^{-1} \phi = \phi * F_{\tau, \xi}^{-1} [\chi(d\mu_m - d\mu_{m_0})].$$

More precisely we choose  $\gamma$

$$\gamma(z) = [\Gamma(z+1)]^{-1} (z + \frac{n}{2}) \sin [\pi(z + \frac{n}{2})], \quad n \text{ odd}$$

$$\gamma(z) = [\Gamma(z+1)]^{-1} (z + \frac{n}{2}) \sin [\pi(z + \frac{n}{2})] (z+1)^{-1}, \quad n \text{ even.}$$

So that  $(T_m^z)_{\mu \leq m \leq m_0+1}$  satisfies the hypothesis of Stein's interpolation theorem for  $-(n+2)/2 \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq 0$  if  $\mu > 0$  and for  $-(n+1)/2 \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq 0$  if  $\mu = 0$ .

From the dominated convergence theorem it follows that

$$T_m^{iy} \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L(L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}), L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})) \text{ as } m \rightarrow m_0$$

and by an explicit computation we obtain that  $(T_m^z)_{\mu \leq m \leq m_0+1}$  is bounded in  $L(L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}), L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}))$  for  $-(n+2)/2 \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq -(n+1)/2$  if  $0 < \mu, m_0$  and for  $\operatorname{Re} z = -(n+1)/2$  if  $\mu = 0$ . Stein's interpolation theorem shows that  $T_m^{-1} \rightarrow 0$

in  $L(L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}), L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}))$  for suitable  $q$  as  $m \rightarrow m_0$ . This implies that  $u_m \rightarrow u_{m_0}$  in  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$  as  $m \rightarrow m_0$ .

Now, study the convergence of  $u_m = T_m(0,0,h)$ . Let  $E_m^+$ ,  $(E_m^-)$  be the fundamental solution of  $(KG_m)$  in the forward (respectively backward) light cone. The problem is reduced to show that  $(E_m^\pm - E_{m_0}^\pm) * \rightarrow 0$  simply in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ ,  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ ) as  $m \rightarrow m_0$ . Following [7] we define an analytic family of operators

$$\begin{aligned} P_{m_\pm}^z h &= \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0^\pm} F_{\tau, \xi}^{-1} [(-\tau^2 + |\xi|^2 + m^2 + \sigma^2 - 2i\sigma\tau) z \\ &\quad - (-\tau^2 + |\xi|^2 + m_0^2 + \sigma^2 - 2i\sigma\tau) z] \hat{h}(\tau, \xi). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$P_{m_\pm}^{-1} h = (E_m^\pm - E_{m_0}^\pm) * h.$$

On the one hand it is clear that  $P_{m_\pm}^{iy} \rightarrow 0$  simply in  $L(L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}), L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}))$  as  $m \rightarrow m_0$ , on the other hand, it follows from [7] that  $(P_{m_\pm}^z)_{\mu \leq m \leq m_0+1}$  is bounded in  $L(L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}), L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}))$  for  $-(n+2)/2 \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq -(n+1)/2$  if  $0 < \mu$ ,  $m_0$  and for  $\operatorname{Re} z = -(n+1)/2$  if  $\mu = 0$ . A slight modification of Stein's interpolation theorem proves that  $P_{m_\pm}^{-1} \rightarrow 0$  simply in  $L(L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}), L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}))$ . The proof of Theorem 2 is therefore complete.

#### 4. ANALYTIC INTERACTION

THEOREM 3. Let  $(a_{2n+1})_{n \geq 1}$  be a bounded sequence in  $W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . Then this sequence is determined by the scattering operator  $S$  for the equation

$$u_{tt} - \Delta_x u + m^2 u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{2n+1}(x) |u|^{2n} u; \quad 0 < m, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Remark: Notice that this result holds whatever the sign of  $a_{2n+1}$ ; indeed, the existence of  $S$  is useful only for small data (in fact only for the small regular wave packet). We sketch the proof: the scattering operator is defined for free solutions  $u_-$  so that  $\|u_-\|_{\text{scat}}$  is small enough where

$$\|u_-\|_{\text{scat}}^2 = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|u(t)\|_e^2 + \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} (1 + |t|)^3 \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_x^3)}^2$$

(see for instance [5]).

Notice that

$$\sup_{q \geq 4} \| \cdot \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^4)} \leq c \| \cdot \|_{\text{scat}}.$$

Now with the notation of Section 2 we have

$$u(t) = u_-(t) + \int_{-\infty}^t R(t-s) * f(x, u(s)) ds.$$

This equation is solved by the Picard method for the  $\| \cdot \|_{\text{scat}}$  norm, hence

$$u(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} R^k(u_-) \quad (*)$$

convergence taking place in the  $\| \cdot \|_{\text{scat}}$  norm and where

$$R(f) = \int_{-\infty}^t R(t-s) * f(x, s) ds.$$

Following [4] we choose  $u_- = \varepsilon \phi_\lambda$ ,  $v_- = 2\varepsilon \phi_\lambda$ , and we have

$$W(S(2\varepsilon \phi_\lambda), (\varepsilon \phi_\lambda)) - 2\varepsilon^2 W(\phi_\lambda, \phi_\lambda) = \iint (u \bar{f}(x, v) - f(x, u) \bar{v}) dt dx.$$

Applying (\*) to  $u$  and  $v$  we see that

$$W(S(2\varepsilon \phi_\lambda), S(\varepsilon \phi_\lambda)) - 2\varepsilon^2 W(\phi_\lambda, \phi_\lambda) = \sum_{n>4} \varepsilon^n Q_n(\lambda)$$

where

$$Q_n(\lambda) = (2^{n-1} - 2) \iint a_{n-1} |\phi_\lambda|^n dx dt + Q'_n(\lambda),$$

where  $Q'_n$  involves only  $a_{n-2}, a_{n-3}, \dots, a_3$  ( $a_{2k} = 0$  by definition). We assume by induction that  $Q'_n(\lambda)$  is already determined so that  $S$  determines

$$\iint a_{n-1}(x_0 + \frac{x'}{\lambda}) |u_\lambda(t', x')|^n dt' dx', \quad n \text{ even},$$

$u_\lambda$  being solution of (E). Theorem 2 ensures that  $u_\lambda$  converges in  $L^4(\mathbb{R}^4)$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$ , hence the problem is reduced showing that

$$\sup_{\lambda} \|u_\lambda\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)} < \infty.$$

By the Sobolev inequality

$$|u_\lambda(t)|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq c |\nabla u_\lambda(t)|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{3/4} |u_\lambda(t)|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{1/4}.$$

We have

$$|u_\lambda(t)|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq |u_\lambda(t)|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} |u_\lambda(t)|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$|u_\lambda(t)|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq c |\nabla u_\lambda(t)|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$

and by the conservation of energy

$$|u_\lambda(t)|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq ||\xi|^{-1}\hat{g}|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\xi^3)}; \quad |\nabla u_\lambda(t)|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq |g|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

Hence

$$|u_\lambda(t)|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq c |g|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\xi|^{-1}\hat{g}|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\xi^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Even

$$|\nabla u_\lambda(t)|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq c ||\xi| \hat{g}|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\xi^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} |g|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Finally

$$\sup_\lambda |u_\lambda|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)} \leq c |g|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\xi| \hat{g}|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\xi^3)}^{3/8} ||\xi|^{-1} \hat{g}|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\xi^3)}^{1/8}.$$

We choose  $g$  so that  $\hat{g} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\} \subset \text{supp } \hat{g}$ .

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bachelot, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, serie A, 293, 121-124 (1981).
- [2] A. Bachelot, Thèse, Université de Bordeaux I (1981).
- [3] B. Marshall, W. Strauss, and S. Wainger, J. Math. Pures Appl. 59, 417-440 (1980).
- [4] C. Morawetz and W. Strauss, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 25, 1-31 (1972).
- [5] M. Reed and B. Simon, Scattering Theory, Academic Press, New York, (1979).
- [6] J. Segal, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4), 1, 459-497 (1968).

- [7] R.S. Strichartz, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 24, 499-525 (1974).
- [8] R.S. Strichartz, Duke Math. J. 44, 705-714, (1977).

A. Bachelot  
Université de Bordeaux I  
U.E.R. de Mathématiques et Informatique  
Laboratoire associé no 226  
351, Cours de la Libération  
33405 Talence Cedex  
France